

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE                | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/795,931   | 03/08/2004                 | Gurmukh S. Johal     | 035718/275581       | 2685             |
| 29122<br>ALSTON & Bl   | 7590 01/10/2007<br>(RD LLP | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |
| PIONEER HI-BRED INTERNATIONAL, INC.<br>BANK OF AMERICA PLAZA<br>101 SOUTH TRYON STREET, SUITE 4000<br>CHARLOTTE, NC 28280-4000 |                            |                      | KRUSE, DAVID H      |                  |
|  |                            |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|  |                            |                      | 1638                |                  |
|  |                            |                      | -                   |                  |
| SHORTENED STATUTOR   | Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE       | MAIL DATE            | DELIVERY MODE       |                  |
| 3 MONTHS   |                            | 01/10/2007           | PAPER               |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

|   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
|   | 10/795,931   | JOHAL ET AL.   |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner   | Art Unit   |  |  |  |
|   | David H. Kruse   | 1638   |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication a  | appears on the cover sheet w   | ith the correspondence address   |  |  |  |
| Period for Reply  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  | DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tute, cause the application to become A | CATION.  reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |
| Status  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17</u> 2a)□ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ TI     3)□ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde   | nis action is non-final. vance except for formal mat   | • •  |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4)  | rawn from consideration.   |  |  |  |  |
| · · ·   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the  | ccepted or b) objected to<br>ne drawing(s) be held in abeyan<br>ection is required if the drawing  | nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).   |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  | Paper No(s   | Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/795,931 Page 2

Art Unit: 1638

## STATUS OF THE APPLICATION

1. This Office action is in response to the Amendment and Remarks filed 17 October 2006.

- 2. Those rejections or objections not specifically addressed in this Office action are withdrawn in view of Applicant's amendments.
- 3. The rejection of claims 1-27 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement is withdrawn in view of Applicants' arguments (see 12-15 of the Remarks).
- 4. The rejection of claims 1-27 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, for lack of enablement is withdrawn in view of Applicants' arguments (see pages 15-18 of the Remarks).
- 5. The rejection of claims 21, 22, 25 and 26 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite is withdrawn in view of Applicants' arguments (page18 of the Remarks).
- 6. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

## Terminal Disclaimer

7. The terminal disclaimer filed on 17 October 2006 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application, which would extend beyond the expiration date of U.S. Patent 6,750,380 has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded.

Application/Control Number: 10/795,931

Art Unit: 1638

Page 3

## **Double Patenting**

8. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

9. Claims 1-27 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-23 of U.S. Patent No. 7,041,874.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because Applicants have admitted that the subject matter of said U.S.

Patent falls within the genus claimed in the instant application (see page 49 of the instant specification; and page 13, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph of the Remarks). Hence, the species taught in the '874 patent renders obvious the genus claimed in the instant application.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

10. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Art Unit: 1638

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

11. Claims 1-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Johal et al (U.S. Patent 7,041,874 B2, issued 9 May 2006, and claiming priority to U.S. Provisional Application 60/164,886, filed 12 November 1999).

The issue of priority under 35 USC § 112, first paragraph, of SEQ ID NOS: 7, 8 and 9 was addressed in the previous Office Action, mailed 18 July 2006. Provisional Application 60/165,176 fails to provide an adequate written description of SEQ ID NOs: 7, 8 or 9, to which all of the pending claims are directed. Hence, the instant application is only entitled to the priority date of 13 November 2000.

The applied reference has a common inventor with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR § 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR § 1.131.

Johal *et al* disclose the Br2 gene encoding a P-glycoprotein and usable in modifying the growth of plants. Johal *et al* claims transformed plants comprising said Br2 gene and a method of modifying the growth of a plant comprising transforming a plant with said Br2 gene at claims 4 and 18 respectively. Applicants' disclose that the

Application/Control Number: 10/795,931

Art Unit: 1638

Dw3 gene of the instant invention is 92% and 91.8% identical to the Br2 gene at the

Page 5

nucleotide and amino acid level respectively on page 49 of the instant specification.

Hence, Johal et al have previously disclosed all of the claim limitations.

Conclusion

12. No claims are allowed.

13. This Office action is made non-final because of the new grounds of rejection.

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to David H. Kruse, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (571)

272-0799. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8:00 a.m.

to 4:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Anne Marie Grunberg can be reached at (571) 272-0975. The central FAX

number for official correspondence is 571-273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the Group Receptionist whose telephone number is

(571) 272-1600.

DAVID H. KRUSE, PH.D.

PRIMARY EXAMINER

David H. Kruse, Ph.D. 27 December 2006

Application/Control Number: 10/795,931 Page 6

Art Unit: 1638

15. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to (571) 272-0547.

Patent applicants with problems or questions regarding electronic images that can be viewed in the Patent Application Information Retrieval system (PAIR) can now contact the USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center (Patent EBC) for assistance. Representatives are available to answer your questions daily from 6 am to midnight (EST). The toll free number is (866) 217-9197. When calling please have your application serial or patent number, the type of document you are having an image problem with, the number of pages and the specific nature of the problem. The Patent Electronic Business Center will notify applicants of the resolution of the problem within 5-7 business days. Applicants can also check PAIR to confirm that the problem has been corrected. The USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center is a complete service center supporting all patent business on the Internet. The USPTO's PAIR system provides Internet-based access to patent application status and history information. It also enables applicants to view the scanned images of their own application file folder(s) as well as general patent information available to the public.

For all other customer support, please call the USPTO Call Center (UCC) at 800-786-9199.